## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**



		2008 JUN 24 PM 2: 49
TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE McCuring is DISTRICT OF CALLEDNIA		
FR	OM: R. Mullin,	Deputy Clerk  RECEIVED DATE: 6/16/2008
CASE NO.: 07cv2139 JAH (WMc) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner YY) DEPUTY		
CASE TITLE: Barkacs v. Adams		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: <u>Traverse</u>		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
ō	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
Ō	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
	4	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: Not in pleading format.
		<b>Date forwarded:</b> 6/17/2008
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
T IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
4	The document is to	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the copy of this order on all parties.		,
	Rejected documen	t to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant  CHAMBERS OF: HON. WILLIAM MCCURING JE.  By:
	Dated: Le Sur les	08 By: Molwanely